

12 questions to help you make sense of cohort study

How to use this appraisal tool

Three broad issues need to be considered when appraising a cohort study:

Are the results of the study valid? (Section A)
 What are the results? (Section B)
 Will the results help locally? (Section C)

The 12 questions on the following pages are designed to help you think about these issues systematically. The first two questions are screening questions and can be answered quickly. If the answer to both is "yes", it is worth proceeding with the remaining questions.

There is some degree of overlap between the questions, you are asked to record a "yes", "no" or "can't tell" to most of the questions. A number of italicised prompts are given after each question. These are designed to remind you why the question is important. Record your reasons for your answers in the spaces provided.

There will not be time in the small groups to answer them all in detail!

©CASP This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/ www.casp-uk.net

(A) Are the results of the study valid?

Screening Questions

1. Did the study address a clearly focused issue?

Yes Can't tell No.

HINT: A question can be 'focused' In terms of

- The population studied
- The risk factors studied
- The outcomes considered
- Is it clear whether the study tried to detect a beneficial or harmful effect?

2. Was the cohort recruited in an acceptable way?

Can't tell No

HINT: Look for selection bias which might compromise the generalisibility of the findings:

- Was the cohort representative of a defined population?
- Was there something special about the cohort?
- Was everybody included who should have been included?

Is it worth continuing?



Detailed questions

3. Was the exposure accurately measured to minimise bias?	Yes	Can't tell No
 HINT: Look for measurement or classification bias: Did they use subjective or objective measurements? Do the measurements truly reflect what you want them to (have they been validated)? Were all the subjects classified into exposure groups using the same procedure 		
4. Was the outcome accurately measured to minimise bias?	Yes	Can't tell No

HINT: Look for measurement or classification bias:

- Did they use subjective or objective measurements?
- Do the measures truly reflect what you want them to (have they been validated)?
- Has a reliable system been established for detecting all the cases (for measuring disease occurrence)?
- Were the measurement methods similar in the different groups?
- Were the subjects and/or the outcome assessor blinded to exposure (does this matter)?

Yes	Can't tell No
Yes List:	Can't tell No
Yes	Can't tell No
Yes	Can't tell No
	List:

(B) What are the results?

7. What are the results of this study?

HINT: Consider

- What are the bottom line results?
- Have they reported the rate or the proportion between the exposed/unexposed, the ratio/the rate difference?
- How strong is the association between exposure and outcome (RR,)?
- What is the absolute risk reduction (ARR)?

8. How precise are the results?

HINT: Look for the range of the confidence intervals, if given.

9. Do you believe the results?





HINT: Consider

- Big effect is hard to ignore!
- Can it be due to bias, chance or confounding?
- Are the design and methods of this study sufficiently flawed to make the results unreliable?
- Bradford Hills criteria (e.g. time sequence, dose-response gradient, biological plausibility, consistency)

10. Can the results be applied to the local population? HINT: Consider whether • A cohort study was the appropriate method to answer this question • The subjects covered in this study could be sufficiently different from your population to cause concern • Your local setting is likely to differ much from that of the study • You can quantify the local benefits and harms 11. Do the results of this study fit with other available evidence?

12. What are the implications of this study for practice?

HINT: Consider

 One observational study rarely provides sufficiently robust evidence to recommend changes to clinical practice or within health policy decision making

(C) Will the results help locally?

- For certain questions observational studies provide the only evidence
- Recommendations from observational studies are always stronger when supported by other evidence